

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/865,257	05/25/2001	Dale Lowry	26530.56	4956
27683 7	7590 12/29/2003		EXAMINER	
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP 901 MAIN STREET, SUITE 3100			MOSLEHI, FARHOOD	
DALLAS, TX 75202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2154	

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/865,257	LOWRY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Farhood Moslehi	2126			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror t, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 M	lay 2001.				
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 Claim(s) 1 and 21-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 and 21-40 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
	ion Papers	r election requirement.				
	•					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		Examiner			
,	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is of	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
* 5 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestifince a specific reference was included in the first 7 CFR 1.78.) The translation of the foreign language protections are the companied of the first sentence of the first sentence of the companied of the companied of the first sentence of the companied of the	s have been received. s have been received in Applicarity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1196 at sentence of the specification of the certified copies application has been receptionity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	tion No red in this National Stage ed. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. 0 and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachmen	• •					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/865,257

Art Unit: 2126

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Claims 1,21-40 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Slaughter et al. (6,643,650) (hereinafter Slaughter).
- 4. As per claim 1, Slaughter describes a computer system for facilitating distributed directory-enabled application using an extensible Markup language ("XML") application program interface, the system comprising:

At least one processor (e.g. col. 6, lines 22-30);

Application/Control Number: 09/865,257

Art Unit: 2126

At least one memory accessible to the processor (e.g. col. 6, lines 22-30);

A first application stored in a first portion of the memory (e.g. col. 26, lines 28-38);

A second application stored in a second portion of the memory (e.g. col. 26, lines 28-38);

Software for an event system, the software comprising instructions for publishing an event by either the first or second application, subscribing to the event by the other application, whereby the first and second applications interact with each other through the event system (e.g. col. 31, lines 61-66);

Software for parsing XML files for the first and second applications, the software comprising instructions for accepting an XML file as an input stream, parsing the input stream, dynamically loading system services referenced in the input stream, and configuring the services (e.g. col. 25, lines 32-43); and software for bridging, the software for comprising instructions for thread safeness, whereby a bridge utilizes semaphore access control to control thread access, smart pointers, whereby the bridge automatically manages the memory it requires, and opaque interfaces, whereby the bridge maintains interface compatibility when implementation changes occur in an interface (e.g. col. 77, lines 37-52).

- 5. Claim 21-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hemphill et al. (6,167,448) (hereinafter Hemphill).
- 6. As per claim 21, Hemphill describes a method for providing an event system in a distributed directory-enabled application environment using an extensible Markup Language ("XML") application program interface, the interface including at least one

Application/Control Number: 09/865,257

Art Unit: 2126

event delineated by an event parameter, the event defining an object delineated by an object property and an object parameter, the method comprising: defining at least one subscription filter allowing subscriber to selectively filter the event (e.g. col. 4, lines 58-65);

Publishing the event (e.g. col. 4, lines 63-65);

Retrieving a subscriber list, the list including the subscriber and the subscription filter (e.g. col. 4, lines 32-38);

Selecting the subscriber and the subscription filter from the list (e.g. col.4, lines 48-57); Filtering the event through the subscription filter (e.g. col. 4, lines 49-57);

Passing the event to the subscriber if the event passes through the subscription filter (e.g. col. 4, lines 49-57); and acting on the event (e.g. col. 4, lines 32-45).

- 7. As per claim 31, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above.
- 8. As per claim 22, Hemphill clearly shows the method further including filtering the event through an event filter based on the event parameter (e.g. col. 10, lines 49-54).
- 9. As per claim 32, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above.
- 10. As per claim 23, Hemphill clearly shows the method further including acting on the event by altering the event parameter, so that the event is transformed through the alteration (e.g. col. 9, lines 26-45).
- 11. As per claim 33, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above.
- 12. As per claim 24, Hemphill shows the method further including filtering the event through an object filter, whereby the event is filtered based on the object property (e.g. col. 11, lines 10-30).

Application/Control Number: 09/865,257 Page 5

Art Unit: 2126

13. As per claim 34 it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above.

- 14. As per claim 25, Hemphill shows the method further including acting on the event by altering the object property, so that the event is transformed through the alteration (e.g. col. 11, lines 10-30).
- 15. As per claim 35, it is rejected for similar reasons a s stated above.
- 16. As per claim 26, Hemphill shows the method further including filtering the event through a parameter filter, whereby the event is filtered based on the object parameter (e.g. col. 10, lines 49-54, a filter is an object therefore changing parameters in a filter and changing parameters of an object is identical operations).
- 17. As per claim 36, it is rejected for similar reasons a stated above.
- 18. As per claim 27, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated in claims 25 and 26).
- 19. As per claim 37, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above.
- 20. As per claim 28, Hemphill shows the method further including acting on the event by terminating the event, so that the event is topped by the termination (e.g. col. 11, lines 10-30, it is an inherent property of JavaScript to terminate objects once they have been terminated and the objects are automatically collected via the garbage collection mechanism).
- 21. As per claim 38, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above.
- 22. As per claim 29, Hemphill describes the method further including tracking the event, whereby information about the event may be gathered (e.g. col. 5, lines 61-65).
- 23. As per claim 29, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above.

- 24. As per claim 30, Hemphill describes the method further including generating reports from the gathered information, so that the information about the event may be compiled (e.g. col. 4, lines 49-57).
- 25. As per claim 40, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above.

Conclusion

26. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patent number 6,125,391 to Meltzer et al.

US Application Publication number 2003/0069874 to Hertzog et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Farhood Moslehi whose telephone number is 703-305-8646. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on 703-305-8498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-5484.

fm

JOHN FOLLANSBEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100